Case 5:08-mj-70791-MRGD Document 5 Filed 11/25/08 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	THE PROPERTY OF CALIFORNIA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number OP-09 70701 A.
Baltazas Mondas Ceballas	Case Number <u>CR-08 - 70791</u> PV7 ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C.	. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 1//25, 2008.
	The United States on 1/25, 2008
Assistant U.S. Attorney C. Mandell.	. The United States was represented by
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	V
/ The defendant is charged with an offence decor	ribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been
offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has also	psed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from
imprisonment, whichever is later.	psed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no co	NOV 25 2008 ondition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
safety of any other person and the community.	indition of combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
/ There is probable cause based upon (the indicate	NORTHER
defendant has committed an offense	ment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR	nment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et
B. under 18 U.S.C. & 924(c): use of a firm	
	irm during the commission of a felony.
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the	ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
Who presumption applies.	community.
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	
/ The defendant has not come forward with	
will be ordered detained.	vidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore
•	
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence t	o rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United St	
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR IN	ales.
The United States has proved to a preponderance	APPLICABLE)
will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as requ	of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
/ / The United States has proved by clear and access	ired, AND/OR
will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the co	acing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF	ommunity.
At The Court has taken into account the factors of	REASONS FOR DETENTION
at hearing and finds as follows: Wa A.	ut in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted
at hearing and finds as follows: The defendant is at	ranged with a violation of 8USC \$ 1326. He
The state of the s	as at least four convictions involving
the him to the transfer and the transfer	He is a substance abuser which may
My not would his ability to lamply	with circit orders, He also has a reard
bankat a with court noters a	enclue has fine violations of
The many of his thirty tellory	invictions involving druss and
Predimo.	
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	d written findings.
The defendant is committed at the	
corrections facility concerns to the custody of the Attorney	General or his designated representative for confinement in a
The state of the state of the calculation in the state of	
	OF DEIVOID COngriltotion will 1.C.
of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government of the United States Marchal for the purposes of the United States of the United	nent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge